Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/03 : CIA-RDP90T00114R000800300002-6

Central Intelligence Agency

DATE	10-15-87	FILE
DOC NO	10-15-87 SOV M 87-20 EURM 87-2	0093
OIR	3	



FILE RECORD COPY

Washington, D. C. 2050

P & PD____

DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

29 June 1987

Soviet and East German Reaction to an Allied Berlin Initiative

Summary

The initial Soviet and East German reaction to President Reagan's Berlin proposals was strongly and predictably negative, although there is some evidence that Moscow is willing to entertain practical measures for improving the situation of the city and its inhabitants. We believe, however, that absent a sharp cooling of Soviet-West German relations the initial Soviet and East German reaction to the President's Berlin initiative will eventually be overcome by the logic of renewed negotiation on the status of the city.

We continue to believe that the Soviets would see a US initiative to renegotiate the air regime over East Germany as an opportunity to undermine Western rights in Berlin. In 1984 the Soviets themselves suggested a similar renegotiation on the air corridors. A willingness to enter talks now would complement the positive image the Soviets have already acquired through their greater Soviet controls over air traffic to Berlin. In a post-highly.

25X1

SOV M 87-20070 EUR M 87-20093

25**X**1

This paper was prepared by

Analysis and by

the Office of Soviet

Comments and queries are welcome and may be addressed to Chief.

European Assessments division,

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

The East Germans would probably object to certain aspects of the US initiative--particularly those highlighting Allied rights in Berlin and the corridors. Moscow's sensitivity to East Berlin's concerns over the proposal's restatement_of_four-power rights would be another complicating factor. The opportunity offered by the changes

in the status quo and the chance to become directly involved, however, could bring East Berlin to overlook the drawbacks. East Germans would be particularly attracted to the prospect of expanding flights to Western Europe.

More generally and perhaps most significantly, it is the East Germans who have been most aggressive in trying to change the status of Berlin because they have always had the most to gain from changes. They will probably come to see the President's initiative as broad enough to allow them to focus on some particular aspects that could give them openings to enhance their claim to unilateral sovereignty over East Berlin and to a role over international arrangements concerning West Berlin.

The NSC 10-Point Program

25X1

25X1

25X1

The Soviets and East Germans have repeatedly asserted their interpretation of the Quadripartite Agreement of 1971-that quadripartite rights apply only to West Berlin and that East Berlin is the capital of the GDR. The East Germans reject the concept of a "greater Berlin," and only grudgingly accept the reality of the air corridors. To avoid alienating its client, Moscow has followed a convoluted logic of insisting on maintenance of its four-power status, while accepting GDR control in East Berlin and generally supporting or abetting efforts to undercut the legal basis for the allied presence in the city.

- Both Moscow and East Berlin approve in theory of practical improvements in the situation of Berlin, but they take a jaundiced view of the increasing FRG official presence in West Berlin and construe statements on German unity as direct challenges to the postwar territorial settlements codified in the Helsinki Accords.
- The Soviets have tried to turn the President's call for openness in foreign affairs by Moscow into a propaganda ploy by arguing that West German and FRG police suppression of rioters in West Berlin was the kind of freedom the President meant. would expect the Soviets and their allies to use similar tactics in responding to future Western calls for openness. This would be

25X1

2

25X1

in line with Gorbachev's demonstrated preference for counterattack when challenged. The President's call for opennes would add to the problems of the East German regime which is already fending off internal stirrings for democratization as a result of Gorbachev's openness in the USSR.	S
4-5, 7. The Soviets would be concerned that the proposed initiative will bring Bonn and Washington closer together on East-West issues. Moscow almost certainly will object toor seek to blockany attempts to strengthen ties between West Berlin and West Germany, especially the authorization of West	25 X 1

German airline (Lufthansa) flights to the city.

be expected to balk at an increase in the commercial use of West Berlin's airports because the status of East Berlin's Schoenefeld airport as the city's premier airport would be undercut. This is a source of "national" pride to a government intent on promoting "the capital of the GDR." Moreover, an expansion of the eastwest or north-south flights could undermine the significant hard currency earnings the GDR now reaps from West Berliners' use of Interflug flights from Schoenefeld. A mitigating factor in such calculations would be how lenient Western terms were for regular intra-German air service—that is, how remunerative such service would be and how large an East German presence would be allowed in the FRG. The greatest obstacle would probably be the proposal to allow Lufthansa service to West Berlin, an idea vehemently denounced by East German leaders in the past.

6. We believe the Soviets would be favorably disposed to a change in Allied flight profiles in the corridors. The Soviet chief air controller in Berlin in 1984 informally raised a similar idea as a means of resolving Allied concerns over the number of corridor reservations. They may also try to expand the agenda to suit their own interests and try to codify other changes in the current regime, such as setting ceilings, or even reasserting their claim that Soviet interests and needs take precedence in the corridors.

East Germany would be obliged to hew to the Soviet line on this, but in principle the GDR would welcome any initiative on the air regime in which it was recognized as an actively

3

25X1

25X1

interested party. The GDR has long detested the air corridors as an infringement on its sovereignty. Its 1983 "Air Law" sets forth existing agreements are in effect.	
810. The Soviets will probably see opportunities in these proposals to further their position on the status of East Germany as an independent nation and East Berlin as its capital but will when Soviet interests begin to be adversely affected.	25X1
The East Germans undoubtedly fear the loss of control over the flow of people through the Wall implied by the Olympic proposal and other uses of all of Berlin for international fora. The embarassment of expressing such reservations openly may explain the rather vague criticisms of the Olympic idea offered by the East German leaders.	25 X 1

Soviet and East European Reaction to the President's Berlin Address

Soviet reaction to President Reagan's Berlin speech and attending ceremonies has been strongly negative. In public and semiformal discussions the Soviets have accused the President of violating the Quadripartite Agreement by officially meeting West of a continuing "German question" and denounced the President's alleged pandering to "revanchists" who challenge the postwar territorial settlements codified in the Helsinki Accords. In inconsistency in the President's remarks on combining arms control and a militarily strong West.

The Soviets have been particularly cutting about the President's challenge to tear down the Berlin Wall. Their comments have ranged from a dismissal of the idea as a platitude destruction of the President a hypocrite for demanding the destruction of the "antifascist ramparts." The common line, unfortunate and would restore the city to a "front line" status and encourage revanchism while inciting nationalist fervor. At East German media that attempt to overshadow the President's public opposition to US policies.

The East European View

25X1

25X1

East German reaction to the President's Berlin address has been unusually harsh. His call to remove the Berlin Wall was rejected out of hand as "provocative." Last week Margot Honecker, the East German education minister and wife of the country's leader, publicly rejected efforts to "open up" GDR society and said it was necessary to guard against those who would "inflict damage" on socialism. The President's speech was cited as an example of the latter danger.

25X1

East German media have consistently decried the alleged violation of the Quadripartite Agreement by the President's meeting in the city with FRG President von Weizsaecker and Chancellor Kohl, and the use of FRG police for security during the President's visit. In addition, GDR leaders have criticized international meetings on holding the Olympics and various no public reaction has been noted on the President's suggestions on greater use of Berlin as an air hub for Central Europe.

25X1

Elsewhere in Eastern Europe, Czechoslova ia and especially Poland have devoted significant commentary to the President's speech. Criticism in both countries has focused on the supposed reopening of the German question and the ostensible encouragement of "revanchist" elements in the FRG.

A Break in the Clouds?

25X1

There is a possibility that Moscow will reconsider the President's suggestions. Last week, during his initial courtesy call on the US Minister in West Berlin, Soviet Minister Maksimychev was willing to accept the fact that the President's speech was indicative of a genuine US desire to make improvements in Berlin's situation. These private comments may indicate that Moscow would be willing to look for areas of pragmatic

SUBJECT: Soviet and East German Reaction to an Allied Berlin Initiative

Distribution:

- 1 D/SOVA
- 1 D/EURA
- 2 EURA Production Staff
- 1 European Assessments Division
- 1 EE/NE Branch